

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/274,015	HEBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	

All Participants:

(1) EDMUND H. LEE.

(2) S. SCRUGGS.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 January 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

POSSIBLE DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTIONS

Claims discussed:

THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:

THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
EXAMINER LEE INFORMED MRS. SCRUGGS THAT A TERMINAL DISCLAIMER OVER USPN 6132324 IS NEEDED TO OVER A POSSIBLE DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION OF CLAIMS 46-76. MRS. SCRUGGS AGREED TO FILE A TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OVER THE POSSIBLE DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION. IN ADDITION, THE INSTANT CLAIMS HAVE BEEN AMENDED AS DISCUSSED IN THE INTERVIEW OF 6/9/05 AND 6/13/05.

AS OF 2/6/06, THE TERMINAL DISCLAIMER OVER 6132324 HAS BEEN FILED AND APPROVED BY THE EXAMINER.